

Hey Robert,

How's it going? I wanted to ask you about some things, politically speaking.

First, I feel there is a disconnect between both parties. Everyone is throwing blame and criticizing others beliefs without truly understanding where those beliefs come from. For example, I just had some guy at the gym lecture me about japan even though he has never been there and doesn't know any Japanese people.

How do you think Americans can close the gap between both parties?

Separately, I would like to ask you about the Helsinki summit. What did you think about it and how did it affect your position with the right?

Cheers! Hope you are well.

Hey, Wazzup my man?

I'm fine...

Hmmm, well... Of course all I can contribute are my own daft and dull thoughts - nothing like the clarity of truth you might gain from spiritual meditation, prayer, or congress with God if you're afforded. But from my disadvantaged position I'll be as honest as I can.

I think that it's most advantageous to view things as a continuous spectrum - I think terms and phrases like "both parties" automatically divide us when actually there is no division - just a difference of opinion on a few topics - the number of which vary from individual to individual. And that's not just rhetoric on my part, it's actually the case that when you view another person as belonging to an opposition group you set yourself in opposition to them - so you're already at odds before anything has even begun - and just because of a preconception which when you think about it is actually false. It's a continuous spectrum of individuality on a graph with way more than just one line (going from right to left, and through a center) all (or almost all) of which intersect often and at multiple location points. Hehehe, try to draw or visualize that graph. 😊

What's the look and feel of such a thing in practice - IRL as it were - and how does that differ from the Us v. Them model? And therein at least partially, lies one of the problems; it doesn't really differ... the Us v. Them model overlays a subset convenient to how human brains behave by default - easy and simplistic categorization.

Group A's thinking: The collective (government) should address the ills of the masses.

Group B's thinking: The individual should trudge through their own problems and overcome.

Group C's thinking: The immediate community and/or family should educate their members as to how to solve problems etc.

Condition facts: There are poor uneducated people who are suffering and in need.

There's no gap in thinking between the groups here - they all agree on the conditions and facts. There is only a slight division in the manner of solution. And every individual in each of the groups will also have a different idea of what the solution implementation might look like.

So I guess what I'm saying is: "There is no spoon". The easiest way to "close the gap" is to honestly and earnestly realize that there is no gap. And then from that point maybe implement the golden rule... my golden rule mod: Understand others as you would like to be understood.

At the same time however there are different levels of worthiness - For example some meat-head at the gym harping on about Japan when it's clear he's never been is hardly worthy of deep intellectual consideration even though it might be interesting and fun to discover why he thinks what he does - don't get upset with such people, offer them a sandwich instead. They might not have a lot to offer when it comes profound observations of Japan but they could be a total hoot at the lunch table! Just laugh when they say something foolish about Japan or whatever the topic and play your hand like poker, revealing subtly and only at last, that you lived there for x number of years. The emotions and realizations that come over their face will likely be priceless. 😊

On Helsinki:

Hm, well, I'm not sure I consider myself to be on the right... I think I'm open to evaluate any and all (rational) ideas based on merit and the outcome of previous (historical) implementations. Sometimes that's in line with what people call "the right" and sometimes it's left - and sometimes I get it so wrong it's just backwards.

Helsinki, It's hard to say. Trump is a very interesting person. The Tao of Trump is strong indeed! Watching Trump play hop-scotch across the table of foreign policy is extremely interesting. That the lefty-News media panics with each hop never seeing or considering the squares ahead is of no concern or interest to me (nor to Trump by the looks of it). Remember that in Taoism one must first make a choice, and only from there can one see to make the next choice. Choose A, B, or C and the new perspective offered by the outcome thereof affords you increased clarity and additional perspective for selecting between D, E, and F in the next round as it were.

Some people claim Trump is playing 4D chess while the media are playing checkers and that's why Trump is great and the Media sucks. I don't agree. I think the media sucks just because they suck - my gawd the lies... it's the worst I've ever seen it. I thought the 60's were bad... it's worse now! And Trump isn't playing 4D chess... He's flying by the seat of his pants like every single other human being on this planet! He has some pretty experienced pants and he's flying along with a lot of other well-meaning folks but flying by his britches he certainly is.

Putin, What's the difference between Putin and Hillary for example? They both have assassinated scores of their opponents. They both have sought to bend the political machinery around them to their own personal benefit. They have both started unneeded wars (Hillary the more-so). So what's the difference? Is the communist ideology of the USSR under Brezhnev or Stalin still alive and in place? I don't even know... but it appears not to be. Gotta be careful, those fkr's are snakes, but it doesn't look to be the case.

And I think Trump was right, that was a damn good idea. We question some of their guys we need answers from - on their soil, with their layers and officials present and in exchange they get to question some of our guys that they want answers from - with our lawyers and officials present. Sounds awesome! It might be a pretty big mess to enter into legally but on the surface of human fairness, yeah, great idea!

Should the USA and Russia be friends and on good terms? Well, obviously.. YES! Duh... What should we do when Russia don't comply with international law or does something un-humanitarian? I dunno... But I think the USA does just as much of that kind of crap so, being American as I am, I'm actually more interested in what we should do about the CIA NSA and OSS spying on the communications of the entire world and "disappearing" those dissidents they deem a potential threat - etc. etc. etc. Or the FBI "manufacturing" evidence against those it chooses to eliminate from the conversation. I dunno... but our US government is at least as bad as Russia's considering the previous decade or so.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RrVOANR5iFM>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1Z50mtzIUec>

*Here's my issue.*

*Americans are spoiled little cunts. We think the world revolves around us and we're extremely greedy. We can't even accept facts. We also tend to get tied up in bullshit without seeing the big picture.*

*You have people arguing about vaccinations. People still arguing that the earth is flat. People still believe that freedom is solely for them but refuse to tolerate other people's freedom.*

*I'm not saying that the rest of the world is better. But it would help if America would just shut up and listen to the people for once.*

*There is so much corruption within the system that people can't tell whether the government is good or bad for people.*

*As for the Russia thing, we need to stop demonizing the media. Media should be used for the people and call news as accurately as possible. They won't always get it right, but they should endeavor to do so.*

*Russia hacked us. That's been proven. What steps are we taking to prevent it from happening again? Nothing. So what will happen in the midterms? Cancel the election?*

To your 1st parts there I agree but it depends how you look at it. For example there are only maybe 5 people in the USA that /honestly/ think the Earth is flat - the rest are having a go or are keeping an "open mind". IMO the whole flat Earther thing is a callout and a rebellion to massively corrupt science like Monsanto, NASA, and the IPCC, etc. etc.. It's like: "They do nothing but lie - maybe even the basics we were taught are false as well and the Earth is really flat?!? LOL"

You're absolutely right that the system is so corrupt that people can't tell if the gov. is good or bad. But right after that you say we should put more trust in the media... The media is absolutely the highest concentration of the corruption. If anything we as individuals need to boycott it all together - which most intelligent people already are doing - thus the truth-tellers on the internet are getting millions of views

while the MSM's viewership is in the thousands. NBC, ABC, CNN, Fox, Most newspapers NYT, WP, AP, etc. tell the truth somewhere between 10 and 20% of the time and the other 80% is 40 to 50% lies mixed with truths and/or 100% ridiculous in-your-face spin. And when examined the lies and spin are almost always this anti-American Marxist garbage - same as the government corruption (of course because it's the same source).

Russia hacked us? There's no evidence... you know that right... we're only told that there is. And were told officially that they hacked the elections and that the intelligence services agree that it was to no affect. I think it's more like PEOPLE world-wide were scared shitless that Hillary would get into office (most corrupt and evil politician in US history) and start thermonuclear WWII - so everyone did everything they could to dump all the information they had so that the blind Americans wouldn't FU that one. And she almost stole it anyway - scary!

Several members in my family had or have TS clearance so I think Russia "hacked" us - but again there really is no evidence offered to the public... I just think so because that has been going on full time non-stop since WWI. We hack them and cause a bunch of hate and discontent in their house and they do the same to us - and more recently China is in that game as well - so now there are three players - all "hacking" each other full time.

*fucking hell, mate. Can you have an argument without bringing Hillary into the debate? SHE IS NOT THE PRESIDENT!!! Trump is literally colluding with Russia and you are still pointing the finger at someone who is not even in the game! I don't get it. Hillary is not a defense. It's what Trump people do to avoid placing any blame on Trump.*

*The FBI and Facebook have both reported fake accounts and hacking involved from Russian bots. the Helsinki stunt was treasonous. Now you're going to tell me that Paul Manafort is innocent? Or that Trump didn't know about the Trump Tower meetings?*

*You have to admit at LEAST that Trump is trying to destroy democracy and attempting to install a dictatorship. We can agree on that right?*

What on earth... You've gone off the deep end. LOL A bad LSD trip perhaps?

Seriously you sound like an extreme left version of Alex Jones. "Trump is trying to destroy democracy" Bahahahaaaaa.... "attempting to install a dictatorship." OMG, you've got some issues bro! What's next? Martians made of string-cheese are parked in Earth's orbit ready to descend on the dairy farmers of the planet and invade our minds through cheese sandwiches?

1st the USA is NOT a democracy! And if it were I would hope to gawd someone would destroy it and replace it with anything else! No, we are a Representative Republic and there is nothing Trump can do to extend his presidential term. You're being had by fear mongering propagandists bro!

2nd, if you actually do the research you will find out that we actually do not know where the cited Russian hack came from. Whoever did the hack that was part of the report (that the DNC paid for!!!) left some tags in the document which pointed to a Russian net address - that's it. So we don't really know - other than that both the RNC and DNC paid for the info.

3rd, On Hillary's emails, those were leaked by Seth Rich who later mysteriously was assassinated in the street. They were NOT hacked!

4th, it's obvious that you don't know anything about the internet or net security. There are thousands of bots for every country all around the world trying to do unethical stuff - all the time 24/7 and that's been the case since the late 90's.

5th, did Russia try and "influence" our elections? Probably... but so did I! Absolutely! That's what politics are! Are we shown any proof of this? Nope!!! Just some crazy report that the DNC paid for that claims Trump jumped up and down on some hotel bed with some prostitutes and peed there as well. So anti-trump nonsense that didn't influence anyone other than the feeble-minded.

6th, Hillary is a criminal who has skirted justice and is CURRENTLY trying blame others for some of the more RECENT crimes she has committed. I will stop talking about that anti-American child molesting cum-stain when she is in prison!

7th, I dunno anything about Paul Manafort really. I have stopped caring. It seems so nonsensical to me. Just a bunch of left-tards freaking out... not entirely unlike your previous message. Just crazy shit that makes no sense and can't be substantiated in any rational way. And trust me, if there was evidence that Trump was an evil twat (Like Bush was! and Obama was!) I would be the 1st one yelling about it.

8th... Wait a minute... I know you're not THAT stupid... Were you just trolling me right now? OMG, I totally fell for it! LOL Well you can't blame me... with all the left nut-bags sounding just like that message you wrote... Next time at least clue me in with a smiley face or something

*I'm going to do my best to unpack everything you just said to me.*

OK. But you didn't unpack anything really... you just published your reactions and your feelings about what I said. And that's OK. I'll do likewise. But IMO I think it would be more constructive to just show the evidence or events that have shaped those feelings and opinions.

*1. You have drank the poison, my friend.*

So you've "gone off the deep end and maybe had a bad LSD trip" [because you have some extremely wild conspiracy theory about Trump turning the USA into a dictatorial regime] (like, that isn't completely unhinged!) and I have "drunk poison" [koolaid] because I pointed out how unhinged that seemed to me? OK, fair enough. :p

*2. If we were not hacked by Russia or do not know where the hacking came from, then why were around 20 Russian agents been indicted last month by a grand jury? Also, this was confirmed by the FBI. We absolutely know, why do you think Mueller is gaining steam?*

All I can do here is offer my opinion. No one except Muller and maybe 2 or 3 of his inner circle friends knows why he indicted 20 Russians. My guess would be two fold: 1) in order to justify all the money he's spent and banked doing this, and 2) for political maneuvers. Regarding the later we all know that such indictments carry zero weight – they are completely meaningless and will result in no actions at all. Literally it's identical to indicting the proverbial ham sandwich – so of course it's only political! But what did he hope to gain politically or what position was he looking to secure? Again, only speculation can be offered here and IMO it's a) to help bolster the Democrat position, and b) to reconfirm that Russia is our enemy. Also, I don't think Muller actually is gaining steam – but only time will tell.

*3. Speaking of Mueller, I'll give you a minute to check out the article from the NYT about Rick Gates admitting that he and Manafort broke the law. This is the kind of shit that is close to Trump. And nevermind what you think of news sources, cause this was testified in court, so everyone is reporting it. Link: <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/08/06/us/politics/rick-gates-manafort-trump-trial.html>*

OK, I read it. What a mess. No one knows anything yet really except that Gates confessed to some illegal doings. Right? I mean consider this paragraph:

*"Mr. Manafort's allies argue that Mr. Gates can be discredited as a morally bankrupt and untrustworthy narrator who owes his professional career to Mr. Manafort, yet siphoned millions from his accounts. Then, faced with the prospect of prison and huge fines, Mr. Manafort's allies say, he blamed Mr. Manafort for financial machinations that he himself executed. The defense also signaled Monday that it may allege extramarital affairs by Mr. Gates in a further attempt to attack a man who Mr. Manafort's friends say took advantage of his boss."*

So who yet knows? It looks to me like Trump's only crime was hiring someone who allowed himself to become involved with crooks. And let's face it... one simply can not do business in Washington D.C. Without doing business with criminals and crooks! Washington D.C. = Washington District of Criminals. We all know it.

*The Trump Tower meetings, the Helsinki kiss ass by Trump to Putin(which no American has done in recent memory). The election meddling? This doesn't stink to you at all? Maybe you should start catching up on what the left screams about instead of just demonizing them.*

Well, a couple of things here...

1) No one knows what those meetings were about. Even that article you linked says everyone involved keeps changing: *"The special counsel's inquiry into the June 2016 meeting is no doubt complicated by the constantly changing explanations from nearly everyone involved."*

2) I don't know why some people say Trump was kissing his ass. Firstly we don't know what went on in the meeting and secondly why would it be a bad thing if Trump was being gracious or agreeable? Seems like good diplomacy to me <shrug>. And you say no president has done such a thing in recent history? Umm, I dunno because I don't care. I like Putin for Russia. He's not comparable to a US political leader but neither is the Russian government nor the Russian culture comparable to anything you would recognize in the west either. If you look at the past leaders of Russia going back to about 1950 (Tarasov, Yasnov, Orlov, Vorotnikov, Yeltsin, Putin, Medvedev, Putin again and current), the political climate, and the cultural reality with any precision in detail Putin is just exactly what is needed. I hope they grow out of it soon and signs are that they are, but for now... yeah, Go Putin! And furthermore, not everyone agree that Trump did go soft on him or kissed his ass. I personally don't think he did. The TV coverage looked to me like Trump was tired, trying to be cordial, and was hoping to counter some of this (VERY DANGEROUS) democrat saber-rattling and war mongering rhetoric. But read what others say:

- ✓ [https://www.dothaneagle.com/opinion/commentary/byron-york-trump-and-obama-who-s-really-tougher-on/article\\_7cbee988-1e36-11e8-ac49-7b8b7d59d304.html](https://www.dothaneagle.com/opinion/commentary/byron-york-trump-and-obama-who-s-really-tougher-on/article_7cbee988-1e36-11e8-ac49-7b8b7d59d304.html)
- ✓ <https://www.cbc.ca/radio/thecurrent/the-current-for-july-16-2018-1.4746257/trump-not-the-first-president-to-be-soft-on-russia-says-political-scientist-1.4748290>
- ✓ <https://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/statements/2018/feb/20/donald-trump/has-donald-trump-been-much-tougher-russia-barack-o/> (read the bottom half as well)
- ✓ <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/order-from-chaos/2018/03/05/dont-rehabilitate-obama-on-russia/>

And many many others. Again, I don't know much about this specific aspect (US v Russia) and I don't really care! I hope we become friends soon and forgive each other. Part of that will be accepting that both of the governments (US and Russian) are full of crooks and criminals as well as those who are trying to make the world a better place.

3) And finally what election meddling??? Some BS report that the Democrats paid a Russian hoaxter for that claimed that Trump jumped up and down on a bed with Russian prostitutes and went pee-pee? And you think Americans changed their votes based on that? You have no faith in Americans? Well, actually democrats tried to say it was real... So, wait, does that mean Russia meddled or that the democrats meddled? LOL! No one paid it any mind anyway so it's a non-issue. Trump won (in my opinion) because of two reasons: 1) He spoke to the issues that most Americans cared about, and 2) Hillary was a known murderer, mega-crook, and anti-American. Hillary tried to steel the election and damn near pulled it off. She should be in prison for election fraud she and her team carried out in 6 states. And when that is considered the numbers change considerably... Like Trump 58%, Hillary 27% et.al.

*4. You are perfectly allowed to go into public in the US and campaign for whichever person you want. Russia can't, but you can. Plus, the majority of what was spread was fear-mongering about Hillary, not Trump. Why did they want him to win so badly?*

Honestly I don't think Russia cares who won. Like me, they must surely know that prior to Trump at least, US Presidents just don't matter much. JFK woke up in the middle of his presidency and tried to matter – and those who actually mattered killed his ass. Reagan tried to matter a little bit and those who really mattered shot his ass a s well – even though he survived the attempted assassination. I think Russia (prior to Trump) cares who is running the CFR and who the influencers are in the Bilderberg group much MUCH more than who the mouth-piece is that sits in the Oval Office. As it turns out however the real FBI, CIA, and OFS, have either had enough of that nonsense or they need people to believe they have – and managed a coup d'état – at least partially – and it's still on-going.

*Not to mention that you should know by now that very few of these politicians ever see punishment. Public embarrassment maybe, but not punishment. That's why I don't bitch about Bush's or Obama's or Clinton's or Reagan's crimes, so get over Hillary! It ain't gonna happen! There is so much bullshit in Washington that you often can't tell what the real story is.*

Wait, what... you just spent the last umpteen sentences telling me you knew or had a good idea and now you admit that it's like I have been saying all along – no one knows for sure, they're all crooks! (???)

*However, the fact that you admittedly don't know about the Manafort or Mueller investigation has already lost you this friendly argument.*

I know about as much as you... The difference is that I'm willing to admit that's almost nothing while you're claiming the opposite – even tho you just admitted one paragraph up that it's all a white-wash. Make up my mind... which is it?

*You call us left-tards? Do you even know what I stand for? Or do you base my beliefs on what Alex Jones tells you? Ideology is a dangerous thing. I tend to focus more on what people do. This is the part of the point I was trying to make earlier.*

It's interesting to me that YOU are including yourself in the category "left-tard" but for the record, I did NOT! In fact that you're willing to discuss this at all (and not just rant and chant) proves beyond any doubt that you do NOT belong in that category. Left-tards are people who have been indoctrinated to the point where they are no longer willing to consider any other opinion or possibility AND ADDITIONALLY just attack anyone and everyone they think may be on "the other side" - as if there were sides at all. You're not that and I have stuck up for you on my FB threads to make sure people knew that wasn't your thing – or have you forgotten?

*We are all Americans. The more divisive people are, the easier it is to control them. I bet you that if we put all the major issues down on this chat, we would agree on most things. And the things we didn't agree on, could come to some kind of compromise.*

Yup! But I'm not sure – some things don't conform to compromise well - not too many – but a few.



*I don't look at Republicans as degenerates. I think of MANY of them are racists and I am NOT down with white supremacy! But they are still part of my country and I should learn to respect that and try to reason with them and influence as I can. It pains me that you dismiss the left's POV as nonsensical and foolish. The Left is not perfect, but racism and prejudice don't really mix well with liberals. I like people of color. And that's really what it comes down to in America.*

Well, now you're talking about large groups... so it's not easy to say anything specific really. It is the democrat party who has and always has had hyper-racist policies tho. I can send you a few good books on that topic. But you're mixing up my terms. For clarity sake, I see the online social media spectrum as such:

- (1) The Radical Left (Antifa, Black Lives Matter, Racism)
- (2) The Far Left
- (3) The left
- (4) Liberals
- (5) Classical Liberals ← Me!
- (6) Centrists
- (7) Conservatives
- (8) The Right
- (9) The Far Right (Neo-Cons)
- (10)The Alt-Right (white supremacy – not “racism” in general)

And none of them are interchangeable! You seem to interchange left and liberal and that's bad – very baaad! :D The dividing line between “The Left” and “Liberals” is that the left is for “equality of outcome” encoded and enforced by governance (the state) and liberals are for equality of opportunity. Huge difference! The dividing line between “Conservatives” and “The Right” is that conservatives wish to conserve and preserve that which is proven to be good or that works well based on common sense, evidence, science, and the alike while the right seeks to enforce such notions through government power (the state) – not unlike the left. And really, with “the right” they also start losing some of that evidence based common sense and replacing it with ideals. This commonality between “The Left” and “The Right” is why we have so many (true) historians arguing over whether Hitler was “Far Right” or “Far Left” - and good cases have been made for both.

The democrat party leadership are the top three. The American citizens who identify as democrat span the top six. The republican party leadership spans 5~8 and back when Bush was president 5~9. The American people who identify as republican span 4~8 with heavy density on 7.